





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,645	09/751,645 12/29/2000		Delmar Lanzer	MRI0003 ·	9250
75	90	03/25/2002			
Kevin R. Erdn	nan		EXAMINER		
Baker & Daniel	S		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
Suite 2700 300 N. Meridiai	n Street				
Indianapolis, IN 46204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		''	LANZER, DELMAR	Ow					
Office Assistant Comments		09/751,645							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	with the correspondence addr	ess					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may  y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Measure the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this com  ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□		nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.							
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
• •	ion Papers	er							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in aboyance.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is. dy_ depoted by is.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
1	) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.							
	2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachme	ent(s)		A CONTRACTOR AND DESCRIPTION A	n/e)					
1 2\ \ \ No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noce of Informal Patent Application (PTr	(s) 'O-152)					
L C Cotont on	Trademark Office		Part	of Paper No. 6					

"就这位世代神子,你们可以

Application/Control Number: 09/751,645

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 2 and 20, drawn to an advancing mechanism, classified in class83, subclass 573.
  - II. Claims 3-7, 22-23 and 24, drawn to a plurality of rails, classified in class 83, subclass 786.
  - III. Claims 9-12, 16-17 and 18, drawn to a pivot support, classified in class 83, subclass 632.
  - IV. Claims 13 and 28, drawn to a pulley assembly, classified in class 83, subclass 814.
  - V. Claim 19, drawn to a motor, classified in class 83, subclass 617.

Claims 1, 14-15, 25-26 and 27 has features of none of the subscombinations and will be examined if any groups are elected. If any of claims 1, 4-15 and 25-27 are ultimately determined to be allowable in their current form, claims dependent therefrom may be rejoined.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of groups I-V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the plurality of rails of group II

Application/Control Number: 09/751,645

Art Unit: 3724

could be used with out the a pivot support of group III, and conversely, the pivot of group III could be used with out the plurality of rails of group II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: Embodiment of Fig. 1-14; Species II: Embodiment of Fig. 15-20.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims may be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,645

Art Unit: 3724

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs March 20, 2002

KENNETH E. PETERSON ORIMARY EXAMINER